New Industrial Schemes of Gujarat

*184. SHRI RAJUBHAI A. PARMAR: Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state:

(a) what are the details of the new industrial schemes, submitted by the Government of Gujarat, which have been included in the current five year plan;

(b) whether any of such proposals is pending for approval; and

(c) if so, the reasons for delay and by when it is likely to be cleared?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI GIRIDHAR GAMANG): (a) According to the Eighth Plan document of the State Government of Gujarat, no new industrial scheme has been included in the Eighth Plan of the State.

(b) and (c) Do not arise.

Storage Facility in the Country

*185. SHRI BHAGABAN MAJHI: Will the Minister of FOOD be pleased to state:

ja) whether the rural godowns are microscopic in number in the tribal and hilly areas in States like Orissa and Madhya Pradesh;

(b) if so, the reasons therefor; and

(c) the steps taken to improve and upgrade the existing godowns and also to open adequate number of rural godowns in the tribal and hilly areas of Orissa and Madhya Pradesh?

THE MINISTER OF FOOD (SHRI AJIT SINGH): (a)) to (c) A scheme under Ministry of Rural Development for establishment of a National grid of Rural Godowns was started in 1979 in which Ministry of Rural Development has been providing central assistance in the form of subsidy for constructions of rural godowns to the State Governments/ Union Territories. The scheme aimed at provision of scientific storage facilities at the farm level, particularly for small and marginal farmers to safeguard them against distress sales immediately after the harvest and to reduce losses due to substandard storage. The scheme has now been transferred to State sector as per decision of National Development Council. Under this scheme an amount of Rs. 43.78 crores was provided as central assistance to various State Governments/ Union Territories for setting up of 4835 rural godowns with a, total storage capacity of 28.47 lakh tonnes. As on 31.3.1995 3834 rural godowns with a total storage capacity of 21.31 lakh tonnes have been completed. An amount of Rs. 0.81 crores and Rs. 2.56 crores has been provided as central assistance to Orissa and Madhya Pradesh State Governments foii setting up of 137 and 468 rural godowns with capacity of 0.748 lakh tonnes and 3.087 lakh tonnes respectively. The detail showing district-wise of godowns sanctioned in the State of Orissa and Madhya Pradesh are given in Ithe enclosed statement *(See* below)

NCDC under the Ministry of Agriculture and Co-operation provides financial assistance for creation of scientific storage facilities to cooperatives in accordance to the requirements assessed by State Governments and on their recommendations. The Corporation, since its inception has provided financial assistance to cooperatives upto 31st March, 1994 for construction of 55327 rural and 9447 marketing godowns capacity 136.91 lakh tonnes of which 52679 rural and 9190 marketing godowns capacfty 131.33 lakh tonnes have been completed.

In so far as the States of Orissa and Madhya Pradesh are concerned the number'of Rural and marketing godowns

with the capacities created under the (scheme are as under:—

State	No. of Godowns			
	Rural Godown	Marketing Godown	Total	Total Capacity (MT)
1. Orissa	1947	556	2503	441480
2. Madhya Pradesh	5162	896	6058	1156150

Out of these the number of godowns located in the tribals areas of Orissa and Madhya Pradesh and the capacities so created are as under:—

State	No. of Godowns			
	Rural Godown	Marketing Godown	Total	Total Capacity (MT)
1. Orissa	83	39	122	49400
2. Madhya Pradesh	94	41	135	38300

--- L,,,,.

The NCDC has been assisting establishment of rural/marketing godowns in tribal areas under liberalised pattern of assistance. These godowns are required for stocking and marketing of surplus agricultural produce, minor forest produce and distribution of agricultural inputs and consumer articles to trifeals.The NCDC would assist construction of further godowns in tribal areas keeping in view the requirement in these States as and when new proposals are sent to NCDC by the concerned State Governments.

STATEMENT

District-wise Break up of Rural Godowns sanctioned in Madhya Pradesh and Orissa Under the ScheiHe for Setting up of Rural Godowns.

SI. NQ.	Name of the Distt.	No. of Godowns	Capacity (in 100 MT)
1	2	3	4
A-MADHYA PRADESH			
1.	Raipur	27	224
2.	Mandla	3	30
3.	Bhopal	3	.22
4.	Raisen	19	118
5.	Sehore	10	58

1	2	3	4
6.	Dewas	4	40
7.	Durg	14	119
8.	Rajnandgaon	9	77
9.	Sconi	6	48
10.	Vidisha	13	61
11.	Morena	12	78
12.	Jabalpur	5	45
13.	Sagar	11	57
14.	Chhatarpur	6	50
15:	Shahdol	9	80
16.	Guna	20	99
17,	Jhabua	12	65
. 18^	Khargaon	21	93
19.	Ratlam	4	35
20,	Mandsaur	6	55
21.	Shajapur	11	95
22,-	Rajgarh	9	75
23.	Hoshangabad	11	60
24\	Ujjain	19	94
25.	Bastar	42	251
26.	Chhindwara	14	60
27.	Bilaspur	29	162
28.	Balaghat	11	70
29.	Dhar	5	50
30,	Indore	3	30
31	Raigarh	11	100
32,	Sarguja	8	80
33,	Damoh	2	20
34,	Tikamgarh	18	i
35.	Khandwa	1	2
36,	Satna	3	30
37.	Gwalior	7	46
38.	Shivpuri.	11	54
39,	Bhind	17	90
40.	Narsinghpur	9	42
41.	Panna	3	30
42.	Datia	2	20
43.	Sidhi	2	20
44.	West Nimar	2	20
45.	Betul	2	20
46.	Rewa	2	20
	TOTAL:	468	3087

SI. No.	Name of the Distt.	No. of Godowns	Cap >acity (in 100 MT)
1	2	3	4
B-ORISSA			
1.	Balasore	8	50
2.	Koraput	29	168
3.	Cuttack	11	74
4.	Sambalpur	33	172
5.	Bolangir	5	27
6.	Puri	6	43
7.	Keojhar	10	38
8.	Phulbani	3	19
9.	Kalahandi	9	55
10.	Ganjam	3	18
11.	Dhcnkanal	9	44
12.	Mayurbhanj	8	31
13.	Sundargarh	1	5
14,	Gaisilot	1	2
15.	Balapada	1	2
	TOTAL:	137	748

Per Capita Income

*186. SHRI SURESH PACHOURI: Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state:

(a) the per capita income at constant prices during the last three years, State-wise;

(b) the names of States which are not able to cross the national average during the last two decades of Planning;

(c) what are the reasons for their low per capita income; and

(d) the steps taken to accelerate the development process in these States?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI GIRIDHAR GAMANG): (a) Per Capita income of the States at constant prices State-wise, year-wise is given in statement for the period since 1990-91. *(See* below)

(b) The States which have per capita income (Per Capita Net State Domestic Product) below the per capita income of the Nation (Per Capita Net National Product) as a whole, consistently during the last two decades (i.e. 1975—95) are Andhra Pradesh, Assam, Bihar, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Manipur, Meghalaya, Orissa, Rajasthan, Tripura and Uttar Pradesh.

(c) The per capita Net State Domestic Product (NSDP) differ among the States for various reasons like historically uneven development of infrastructure, industrial and entrepreneurship development in various regions, variation in rainfall, drought and floods and population growth.

(d) The State Governments are implementing development plans for increasing income. The Central Government provides Central Assistance for State PJans according to a formula in which higher weightage is given to the States which have lower per capita income.